Case 3:10-cv-04916-SC Document 13 Filed 12/07/10 Page 1 of 3

1 2	MALCOLM A. HEINICKE (State Bar No. 194174) Malcolm.Heinicke@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
3	560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2907		
4	Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077		
5	TERRY E. SANCHEZ (State Bar No. 101318) SHOSHANA E. BANNETT (State Bar. No. 241977)		
6	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor		
7 8	Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702		
9	Attorneys for Defendants		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	DOUGLAS K. McDANIEL and BRYAN	CASE NO. CV 10 4916 SC	
14	CLARK, on behalf of themselves, all others similarly situated, and the general	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
15	public,	ORDER TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR	
16	Plaintiffs,	OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT	
17	VS.		
18	WELLS FARGO INVESTMENTS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,		
19	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a National Association, WELLS FARGO		
20	ADVISORS, LLC, formerly known as Wachovia Securities, LLC, a Delaware		
21	limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 50 inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

12436876.1

STIPULATION CASE NO. CV 10 491

WHEREAS, pursuant to Civil L-R 6-1(a), counsel for Defendants Wells Fargo Investments, LLC; Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC; and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. have requested an extension of the deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, and counsel for Plaintiffs has agreed to such an extension;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed this putative class action on July 12, 2010 in state court;
WHEREAS, Plaintiffs served Defendants with the complaint and summons on September 29, 2010, and the action was subsequently removed to this Court pursuant to the Class Action

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint was November 5, 2010;

WHEREAS, the parties previously agreed to extend Defendants' time to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint by thirty (30) days, and the Defendants' current deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint is December 6, 2010;

WHEREAS, Defendants have filed a motion to transfer venue to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1404, and that motion has been noticed for a hearing on January 21, 2011;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that it would be inefficient to brief and litigate Rule 12 motions and other matters before the transfer issue is decided;

WHEREAS, the parties agree to extend Defendants' deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint until fourteen (14) calendar days after (a) the motion to transfer is denied; or (b) transfer to the Eastern District is effectuated, whichever occurs first;

WHEREAS, the extension set forth will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by Court order, and Defendants take the position that Civil Local Rule 6-1(a) does not require a Court order for such an extension, but the Clerk of Court has requested the submission of a stipulation with a proposed order contained in it;

WHEREAS, through this stipulation, Plaintiffs and Defendants do not concede any procedural or substantive rights -- this stipulation affects only the deadline for responding to the complaint;

Case 3:10-cv-04916-SC Document 13 Filed 12/07/10 Page 3 of 3

1	NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants through their counsel of record stipulate			
2	to the following and respectfully request an order to this effect:			
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1(a) that the deadline for			
4	Defendants to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint shall be and is hereby extended to			
5	fourteen calendar days after (a) the motion to transfer is denied; or (b) transfer to the Eastern			
6	District is effectuated, whichever occurs first.			
7				
8	8 DATED: December 6, 2010 Law W	Offices Of William P. Torngren /ILLIAM P. TORNGREN		
9	9			
10		al William D. Tamanan		
11	1 By <u>/</u>	s/ William P. Torngren WILLIAM P. TORNGREN		
12	2 Attor	rneys for Plaintiffs		
13	3			
14	DATED: December 6, 2010 Mun	ger, Tolles & Olson LLP		
15	5			
16	6 By:	/s/ Malcolm A. Heinicke		
17		MALCOLM A. HEINICKE		
18	8 Attor	rneys for Defendants ¹		
19		DED and the deadline for Defendants to		
20		PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, and the deadline for Defendants to		
21	answer or otherwise respond to the complaint is extended until fourteen calendar days after (a)			
22	Defendants' motion to transfer is denied; or (b) transfer to the Eastern District is effectuated,			
23		SEATES DISTRICT COL		
24		HE HONOR		
25		Judge Samuel Conti		
26	I, Malcolm A. Heinicke, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE			
27	FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWIS	FOR DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B., I hereby attest that William P. Torngren concurred in		
28	1 • 0.1 •	5		
		STIPULATION		

STIPULATION CASE NO. CV 10 4916